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A Note on the Father of Acadian Barnabé Martin, Ancestor of New Brunswick Martins

George FINDLEN

Individuals interested in the Acadian Martins have long wondered if Pierre Martin and Barnabé Martin, the two Martin men first found in Port-Royal in the 1671 census, are related. They have also wondered who Barnabé’s father is. Several published genealogical compilations have answered both questions. Unfortunately, no official document exists which justifies their answers.

One compilation is Léopold Lanctôt’s Familles acadiennes. In it, the author declares (1) that “Pierre Martin [est le] fils de René Martin et d’Étiennette Payrier,” (2) that “Robert Martin [est le] fils de René Martin et d’Étiennette Payrier,” and (3) that “Barnabé Martin [est le] fils de Robert Martin et de Marguerite Landry.” These statements (a) make Pierre and Robert brothers and (b) make Barnabé the son of Robert and nephew of Pierre. Only Lanctôt’s first claim, that Pierre is the son of René and Étiennette, is substantiated by a marriage entry in the register of Saint-Germain-de-Bourgueil. (Bourgueil is a village in the current Département de l’Indre et Loire between Tours and Saumur in France. In the early seventeenth century, the village was part of Anjou.)

Established and respected dictionaries also publish the error and thus extend it. One is the Dictionnaire National des Canadiens-Français (1608-1760). The entry for “MARTIN, Barnabé,” lists his parents as “Robert [Martin] et Marguerite Landry de France.” Since the Dictionnaire is so well known, and its first edition came out in 1965, we have had the past 35 years for the error to be copied by conscientious hobbyists who copy exactly what the trusted reference tomb gives them.

The effect of the above serious publications shows up in informal genealogies which perpetuate the view that Robert is the brother of Pierre and the father of Barnabé. Remember Us: Historical, Biographical, Pictorial, an undated, privately printed family genealogy, devotes three paragraphs to Robert Martin, “the son of René Martin and Étiennette Pouyrier.” The writers do not provide a source for their data. Since major research libraries collect family histories like this one, the unsubstantiated answers to my two opening questions will continue being perpetuated every time an enthusiastic descendant pulls down the volume and bolts for the copy machine. The reason is simple: most of us treat what is in print as true, or it would not have been printed in the first place. Thus future genealogy buffs using library collections of privately printed family genealogies done by less-than-careful enthusiasts, more formal compilations like Léopold Lanctôt’s, or reference works like the Dictionnaire are likely to repeat this error as gospel. The error has been so often repeated that we might even call it the Apocryphal Gospel of Saint Martin!

One serious genealogist, C.-J. d’Entremont, addressed the matter in an article published in the journal for Martin descendants: The article informed Acadian Martin family members that the available documents do not support the connection made between Pierre and Barnabé or between Barnabé and Robert. It is time to repeat his message.

Here are the facts; sources for them will be cited in following paragraphs.

1. To date (July 2000), no one has uncovered and reported a baptismal or marriage record for Robert Martin in the register of Saint-Germain-de-

---

Bourgueil—or anywhere else in France—for the period between 1630 and 1665 when he was likely born and married. (Pierre Martin was baptized and married at Saint-Germain-de-Bourgueil.)

2. There is no Robert Martin included in any baptism, marriage, or burial entry in the registers for Saint-Jean-Baptiste, the church at Port-Royal.

3. There is no Robert Martin in the first census of Port-Royal in 1671.

4. Barnabé Martin first shows up in the 1671 census of Port-Royal residents with a wife and two children, one 4 years old and a second 8 months old.

5. The name, Robert Martin, is among the signatures on the 16 August 1654 surrender document of Port-Royal to the New England forces under Major Robert Sedgewick. All the English signatures are in a separate column, and Robert Martin's signature is in the column of English names.

Careful genealogists like Stephen White, a lawyer by training, draw only those conclusions which can be supported by official documents. White's *Dictionnaire généalogique des familles acadiennes* (Moncton, NB: Centre d'études acadiennes - Université de Moncton, 1999) (hereafter DGFA) gives us what careful genealogists should: facts from official documents and conclusions based on logical deductions necessitated by the facts in those official documents. His entry for Barnabé Martin in the DGFA is a model for all genealogists. Since no official documents exist in the New World which identify Barnabé's parents or relatives, White lists none. Since the 1671 census record says that Barnabé was 35 years old, White concludes that he was "v 1636," that is, born about 1636. People who are 35 years old in a given year had to be born 35 years earlier. Since Barnabé was a four-year-old child in the household, White infers that Robert and his wife married no later than "v 1666," that is, about 1666, a year before their first child was born. Human gestation usually takes nine months, so adding a year to the oldest child's age gives a reasonable approximation of the late year the marriage likely occurred. Note that White assumes the couple is married and that the children in the household are theirs, both reasonable assumptions given their Catholic community.


---

**A Note on the Father of Acadian Barnabé Martin, Ancestor of New Brunswick Martins**

Good genealogists use the methods of good historical research. One practice is to look at a variety of statements to find consistency and to evaluate each for accuracy. Another practice is to give more value to documents created closer to an event than to those created many years later. Using these practices, Stephen White has found the origin of the error now so widely spread. During the 1755 deportation, some Acadians were shipped to England for the duration of the war. They were relocated in France in 1763 after the war. Some of them were settled in Belle-Île-en-Mer in Brittany where an effort was undertaken to reconstruct a register of their baptisms, marriages, and deaths from their memories. In DGFA, White tells us how that went for Marie-Joséphine Martin: "La déclaration à Belle-Île-en-Mer de Louis Courtin, époux de Marie-Joséphine à Michel à Étienne Martin, dit que les père et mère d'Étienne s'appelaient René Martin et Marguerite Landry (Doc. Inéd. vol. III, p. 27)." It turns out that Courtin was an Irishman who married Marie in Ireland in 1761. Marie's father died when she was only six years old, she was only 14 when the deportation occurred, and her mother died during the deportation period. As White puts it, "Marie-Joséphine Martin n'avait aucun répétiteur pour l' aider à remonter au premier Martin de sa lignée en Acadie." It only makes sense that her recollection was prone to error.

White properly gives more credence to an official document, the register of baptisms and marriages at the church of Saint-Jean-Baptiste in Port-Royal, each entry made at the time of the event, than he gives to a recollection over a hundred years later across the Atlantic by someone who had many reasons for not remembering correct information.

White goes on to identify how the error was promulgated. In his explanatory notes on the Belle-Île-en-Mer declarations, Rameau de Saint-Père, writing in 1890, states that Barnabé "a pu en effet venir de France, avec son père Robert Martin" and cites the presence of Robert Martin's

6. White, DGFA, II, 1139. His citation is to *Le Canada Français*. See note 8 below.

signature on the 1654 surrender document as the basis for his conclusion. This one act of sloppy scholarship has been repeated ever since.

Although White's work uncovers the root source of the error, we are still left with this question to resolve: who is the Robert Martin who signed the August 1654 surrender document? Is it still possible that he is French, or is he for sure English? The remainder of this note presents the research I have done with accompanying reasoning to answer these two interrelated questions.

First, we must look to the Martin surname itself. Some surnames are reasonably limited to one language or country. Other surnames are found in many countries. Martin, it turns out, is one of the latter. The *Encyclopedia of American Family Names* tells us that the surname has "Czeck, Danish, Dutch, English, Flemish, French, German, Irish, Norwegian, Scottish" origins. And that does not include English, French, Italian, German, Swedish, and Dutch transformations and cognates (such as Marten, Martineau, Martinelli, Martensen, Martinsson, and Martens). Martin is not a rare surname.

Not only is the Martin surname found in at least ten European countries, it is very common in New France during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. The *Programme de recherche en démographie historique* (pRDH) at the Université de Montréal has determined that the Martin surname is the 12th most common surname among the more than 710,000 individuals found on a record in Quebec between 1621 and 1799. Work done by Émile Martin indicates that there are many distinct lines of Martin ancestors in New France. He has uncovered 55 separate branches of Martins in the


given name beginning in “R” is “Rene,” and that at Port-Royal. Thus, Arsenault’s work supports an examination of the two Reiders’ work, and we must conclude there is no extant document showing that a Robert Martin lived in Acadia in the seventeenth century.

Yet another resource to check is The French Canadians, 1600-1900. It is a database assembled by the Genealogical Research Library of references to individuals in archived documents. The earliest date that the name Robert Martin shows up in the database is in 1871 in St. Epiphanie. Two years later, in a companion volume, The Atlantic Canadians, 1600-1900, we find the earliest date that the name Robert Martin shows up is 1783, and that Robert was likely English since the record says he was a “loyalist.” The first instance of a Robert Martin who could be an Acadian is “Martin, Robert, farmer, living in 1896 in Madawaska County,” and he came on the scene almost 300 years too late to be Barnabe’s father. All other instances of a Robert Martin in both publications are in the late 1800s. Had a record existed, Elliot, the editor, would have picked it up as he did for “Martin, Rene, living in 1671 in Port Royal NS (Acadian)” (II, 2066). Here too, we are forced to conclude that there was no Robert Martin in Acadia in the seventeenth century.

The absence of Robert Martin in the registers of Saint-Jean-Baptiste, in the censuses taken of Port-Royal residents, or in other archival documents available to researchers is fairly conclusive evidence that Robert Martin was not among the long-term residents of Port-Royal in the 1636-1671 period when the settlement was becoming established and Barnabe Martin was beginning his family. The absence of the name in the church registers and censuses also suggests that no Robert Martin ever lived at Port-Royal between 1636, when d’Aulnay’s group came over on the ship carrying replacement masts and that a ship had arrived from England, and 1755, when the Acadians were deported. It is hypothetically possible that a French Robert Martin lived at Port-Royal briefly, but the documents currently available to us do not let us conclude that.

Third, we must look at documentation found in New England. And there we find a Robert Martin among those who laid siege to the fort at Port-Royal in late July 1654. To see how this Robert Martin showed up at Port-Royal in 1654, we need to look at the documents leading up to the attack.

In 1652, England and Holland were at war, and New England colonists were worried that the Dutch in New York would enlist the aide of Indian allies to attack the English. Robert Sedgwick, commander of the militia at Massachusetts Bay Colony, went to England to seek help in the winter of 1652/1653. He returned with four war ships and orders from Oliver Cromwell, the Protector, to recruit a force of volunteers from the four New England colonies (Massachusetts Bay, Plymouth, New Haven, and Connecticut) to attack the Dutch at New York. The first sentence of his orders, issued on 8 February 1653, reads, “You are to take under your care and direction for this present expedition, and according to the Instructions following, the ships Black Raven, Hope, Church, and Augustine ...” He circulated a letter from Cromwell to each of the governors and each sought volunteers for the expedition against the Dutch. However, the expedition did not get started in a timely way because of the loss at sea of a ship bearing extra masts for his ships, and he had to have replacements made. On 1 July 1654, Robert Sedgwick wrote a letter to Cromwell detailing his progress to date. He informed Cromwell of the hold up due to the loss of the ship carrying replacement masts and that a ship had arrived from England with “brought news of peace” with the Dutch just as he was about to sail against New York. He then writes, “Our shippes being provided and fitted for the former designe, and our ladeing not readye, it was thought best, according to our commission, to spend a lyttle tyme in ranging the coast against the French, who use tradinge and fishinge hereaboute. The shippes are to sayle next faire winde, if God permitt.” Three days later, on 4 July

19. Ibid.
1654, John Leverett, who worked with Robert Sedgwick to prepare the expedition against the Dutch, wrote to Cromwell to say that, "The major Sedgwick having received commission and instructions from the honorable generals of the fleet and the commissioners of the admiralty, for the seizing upon the ships of any of the subjects of the French king; by virtue of which, and other considerations aforementioned, major Robert Sedgwick is this day set sail with a fair wind to the French coast, having the Augustine, Church, Hope, and a small catch [the Black Raven], whom the Lord in mercye direct and prosper to the glory of his owne name, and good of his people!"22

Earlier in the same letter, Leverett identified two of the ship captains as "captain Martin, in the Hope ... and captain Harrison in the Church."23

When we look at the signatures on the surrender document for Port-Royal, we find that Rev. Père Léonard de Chartres, Robert Bourgeois, and Guillaume Trouen [Trahan], signed in one column, while Robert Sedgwick, Robert Salem, Marke Harrison, Robert Martin, and Richard Morse all in another column.24 We already know that two of the English signers, Martin and Harrison, are the captains of the ships Hope and Church; it is likely that Morse and Salem are the captains of the ships Augustine and Black Raven. The copy of the capitulation document in the Archives Nationales indicates that the document was "fait et passé ce seizième d'aoust mil six cent cinquatre quatre, stile de forme à bord du Navire L'amiral nommé L'auguste, étant ancré dans la Rivière et devant le fort du Port Royal."25

Thus from Leverett's letter, we know that all four ships sent from England by Oliver Cromwell, the Church, the Hope, the Augustine and the Black Raven, participated in the attack and that the captain of one of them is Robert Martin. From the surrender document itself, we know that it was written on board one of the ships, the Augustine. What these documents tell us is that the Robert Martin who signed the 1654 surrender document at Port-Royal is definitely English.

To alay future speculation, we must look at the Robert Martin who lived in New England and was a contemporary of Pierre Martin and Barnabé Martin in Acadia. Robert Martin,26 age 44, and his wife, Joanna, also age 44, were on a list of passengers from Badcombe, England, to Boston, New England, in March 1635. He was a surveyor who was elected townsman (selectman) several times to manage the affairs of the village of Rehoboth; Robert and his wife Joanna were among the village's founding families in 1644. We know that Robert was alive when Sedgwick attacked Port-Royal, for he did not die until six years later, when "A true and pfect Inventory of the lands goods and Chattles of Robert Martin of Rehebott Deceased [was] taken this 19th Day of the fift month Commonly Called June [sic.] in the year 1660."27

Since Robert Martin was alive and a civic leader when Major Sedgwick sought to raise a force of 500 men from the colonies, we must look at whether Robert Martin of Rehoboth, in Plymouth Colony, was among those who sailed with Sedgwick to Port-Royal. However, it would not appear that Robert Martin was among the expedition's members. The basis for this inference comes from a lengthy letter written on 25 August 1820 by Alden Bradford, a descendant of the first governor of Plymouth Colony, to John Davis, then president of the Massachusetts Historical Society. In his letter, Bradford writes, "In 1653, a period of great alarm, Capt. [Myles] Standish was one of the council of war in Plymouth colony; and in 1654 he was appointed to the command of the Plymouth forces, consisting of about sixty men, destined to act in concert with the Massachusetts and Connecticut troops, against the Narragnets Indians and the Dutch, who had combined to destroy all

22. Ibid., p. 426.
23. Ibid., p. 425.
24. "Capitulation de Port-Royal," Archives Nationales [de France], Colonies (CII D), vol. I, fol. 98b, copy on file at the Centre d'études acadiennes, Université de Moncton, Moncton, NB. The reader should note that the transcription made by Rameau de Saint-Père in Une Colonie fidèle en Amérique: l'Acadie (1604-1881) (Paris: Librairie Plon, et Montréal: Granger Frères, 1889), vol. II, pp. 303-304, is as much excerpt and paraphrase as it is transcription. The serious reader who wants the full document will want to work with a photocopy of the Archives Nationales document (available in the Archives privées of the Centre d'études acadiennes, Université de Moncton, Moncton, NB).
25. Ibid.
26. Details of Robert Martin's life are provided in Henry Joseph Martin's Notices, Genealogical and Historical, of the Martin Family of New England, Who Settled at Weymouth and Higham in 1635, and were among the First Planters of Rehoboth (in 1644) and Swansea (in 1667), with Some Account of Their Descendants (Boston: Lee and Shepard, 1880).
the English people in these parts. The news of peace between England and Holland, which reached America in June, rendered the expedition unnecessary; and the troops were discharged. It is also proper to mention, as it shews the confidence the magistrates of Plymouth colony had in Capt. Standish, that he was sent to Boston, in the spring of the same year, to consult with Major Sedgwick, appointed commander in chief, respecting the proposed expedition against the Indians and Dutch.”

Robert Martin would have been 63 at the time of the attack on Port-Royal. Thus, his age may have permitted him to be excused from serving. In any event, since the Plymouth Colony men “were discharged” after news of peace with the Dutch arrived, it is unlikely that this Robert Martin was at Port-Royal fighting on the English side.

In sum, we know that the Martin surname is common in ten countries and very common in seventeenth century New France. That fact alone forces us to suspect that any two given Martins in the New World may not be related. We also know that there is no documentation which would put a French Robert Martin in Port-Royal in the middle 50 years of the seventeenth century, whereas we do have documentation that the first time French (not English) Martin parents named a son Robert in Eastern Canada is in the late nineteenth century. Those twin facts force us to reject speculation that there was a Robert Martin in Port-Royal in the 1600s. Finally, we have documentation to support the fact that an English Captain Robert Martin of the ship Hope accompanied Sedgwick on his expedition against the three French forts in 1654 and was a signer of the surrender document with his fellow English navy captains. Given the information at hand, careful thinkers should conclude (a) that no French Robert Martin lived at Port-Royal in the seventeenth century and (b) that the Robert Martin whose signature is on the 1654 capitulation document is English.

That leaves us with one question yet unanswered, and I end this note with Father d’Entremont’s answer to it in his 1988 note cited above: “Qui donc était en réalité le père de Barnabé Martin et comment était-il parent avec Pierre Martin? Je ne sais pas”. 29